

Development of planulae within a mesogleal coat in the soft coral *Heteroxenia fuscescens*

Y. Benayahu¹, T. Berner² and Y. Achituv²

¹ Department of Zoology, George S. Wise Faculty of Life Sciences, Tel Aviv University, Ramat Aviv 69978, Israel

² Department of Life Sciences, Bar Ilan University, Ramat Gan 52100, Israel

Abstract

Embryology of the hermaphroditic and dimorphic alcyonacean Heteroxenia fuscescens has been examined by scanning electron microscopy and light microcopy. Initial embryonic development in this octocoral occurs while the embryos migrate freely inside the anthocodiae and the tentacles. Immature planulae are extruded externally into intersiphonozooid spaces, where they mature. All stages of planular morphogenesis, from egg to planula, occur while the embryo is coated by the original egg mesogleal coat derived from the parent colony. Hatching from this mesogleal coat occurs as late as immediately prior to planulation. H. fuscescens demonstrates highly specialized brood care involving the retention of embryos in internal polyp cavities as well as in external spaces. This highly specialized brood care, coupled with the embryo coating, may provide better protection for the embryo and greater fecundity for the colony.

Introduction

Several soft corals (Octocorallia: Alcyonacea) have reproduction that involves planulae shedding (Benayahu and Loya 1984b). Alcyonaceans of the family Xeniidae have been observed to brood their embryos to a planula stage (Gohar 1940, Gohar and Roushdy 1961). In *Xenia* species, embryogenesis of the fertilized egg is carried out within internal brooding pouches where the planulae mature (Benayahu and Loya 1984b, Benayahu et al. 1987). All *Heteroxenia* species are characterized by polyp dimorphism, i.e., two kinds of polyps are present in a colony: autozooids and siphonozooids. Some *Heteroxenia* species are hermaphroditic and have a rather complex brooding mechanism that involves the retention of the expelled planulae among the siphonozooids (Gohar 1940).

Heteroxenia fuscescens (Ehrenberg, 1834) is a common shallow-reef xeniid in the northern Red Sea (Benayahu 1985). Its colonies are easily recognized among other xeniids by their large size and long pulsating polyps. Although Gohar (1940) and Gohar and Roushdy (1961) reported some reproductive features of *H. fuscescens*, various aspects of embryogenesis and the structural relationships between the developing planulae and the parent colony remain poorly known.

The objectives of this study are (1) to examine the mode of development of planulae and the brooding procedure in *Heteroxenia fuscescens* and (2) to determine the structural relationships between a gravid colony and its developing embryos.

Using histological sections and scanning electron microscopy (SEM), we examine the morphological features of the eggs and embryos. We describe, for the first time, a peculiar mode of planula development within a coat of parental mesoglea and the consequent hatching of mature larva from this coat at planulation.

Material and methods

Colonies of Heteroxenia fuscescens were collected during 1986 and 1987 from the coral reef in front of the Marine Biological Laboratory at Eilat. Field collection, underwater observations and photography were conducted while SCUBA diving at depths of 4 to 12 m. Immediately after collection, living colonies were dissected longitudinally and examined under a binocular stereoscope. Gonads and embryos from the colonies were collected and fixed (see below). Additional colonies of H. fuscescens were carefully detached from the natural substratum and transferred into aerated aquaria. Released embryos or planulae were pipetted out of these aquaria. Material for light microscopy was fixed in Bouin's solution and then decalcified in a mixture of equal amounts of formic acid (50%) and sodium citrate (15%). Paraffin sections 8 μ m thick were cut and stained in Mallory Trichrome or hematoxylin-eosin. Material for scanning electron microscopy (SEM) was fixed in sea-water 2% glutaral-



Fig. 1. Heteroxenia fuscescens. Photomicrograph of oocytes (O) and sperm sacs (S) inside an autozooid cavity. Scale bar = $100 \ \mu m$

Fig. 2. Heteroxenia fuscescens. Scanning electron micrograph (SEM) of a portion of an oocyte surrounded by ciliated endodermal follicular layer (F) and mesoglea (M). Scale bar = $10 \ \mu m$

Fig. 3. Heteroxenia fuscescens. SEM of a fractured oocyte with follicular layer (F), mesoglea (M) and yolk material (Y). Scale bar = $10 \ \mu m$

Fig. 4. Heteroxenia fuscescens. SEM of an oocyte with partly broken mesoglea (M) and exposed oocyte surface (arrow). Scale bar = $10 \mu m$. Inset: SEM showing microvilli of the oocyte surface. Scale bar = $1 \mu m$

Fig. 5. Heteroxenia fuscescens. Embryos inside anthocodiae of living colony. Scale bar = 5 mm

Fig. 6. Heteroxenia fuscescens. Embryos inside the tentacles of living colony. Scale bar = 5 mm

dehyde, followed by GTGO procedure (Gamliel et al. 1983). Samples were examined using a Joel JSM 840. The terminology of gross morphology and anatomy follows Bayer et al. 1983.

Results

Colonies of *Heteroxenia fuscescens* are hermaphroditic. Each autozooid bears male and female gonads (Fig. 1). The gonads appear on the four lateral and two ventral mesenteries of the polyps, excluding their anthocodial extensions. Within any given polyp the oocytes and the spermaries are densely aggregated at the upper end of the coelenteron below the level of the capitullum, occupying 30-60% of the length of each mesentery. Clusters of gonadal primordia appear at the uppermost part of the autozooid. When alive both male and female gonads are opaque, and creamywhite. Gonadal sex can be determined only by histological sectioning. This reveals that male and female gonads are both present on the same mesentery.

The maximal diameter of mature oocytes is 750 to 900 μ m. Each oocyte is surrounded by a follicular layer of ciliated columnar cells and an underlying mesogleal layer (Figs. 2, 3). Both layers are continuous with the parental tissues which form the gonadal pedicel that attaches them to the mesentery. The periphery of the oocytes is covered by microvilli which extend 1 to 2 μ m from the surface, toward the mesogleal coat (Fig. 4).

Mature eggs of *Heteroxenia fuscescens* become detached from the mesenteries and are fertilized internally, within the gastrovascular cavity of the autozooids. Subsequent embryonic develoment is divided into two distinct phases: (1) internally inside the autozooids and (2) externally among the siphonozooids. The embryos migrate freely inside the autozooid cavities. They resemble white-colored strings and are easily observed underwater through the transparent polyp wall (Figs. 5, 6). The embryos are very often driven up into the anthocodiae (Figs. 5, 7) and they are conspicuous in the tentacle cavities (Figs. 6, 8).

All stages of embryogenesis are carried out while the embryo is enveloped within a mesogleal coat, which is apparently the parental mesoglea that surrounds the oocyte (Figs. 2-4). The young embryo is ca. 1.0 mm long (Fig. 9) and covered with the mesogleal coat. Embryos at stereoblastula stage (Fig. 10) were isolated from the autozooids. After further cell divisions the blastomeres are loosely arranged inside the mesogleal coat (Fig. 11) without any recognizable filipodia between them (Figs. 12, 13). At this stage of development the blastomeres are nearly smooth and have no surface specializations (Fig. 13). Further embryonic development leads to the gradual apparence of surface microvilli. Initially they are short and sparsely scattered on the cell (Fig. 14). Then later they become substantially longer and more numerous (Fig. 15). Cilia also develop among the microvilli beneath the mesogleal coat (Fig. 16).

Immature planulae of *Heteroxenia fuscescens* (Fig. 17) are extruded from the autozooids through temporary gono-

pores opened in the surface of the capitullum (see also Gohar and Roushdy 1961). These planulae remain attached externally on the capitullum, in spaces between the bases of the siphonozooids (Figs. 18–20). The inter-siphonozooid spaces are used as external brooding sites where the final stage of larval maturation takes place. The immature planulae are elongated or pear-shaped (Fig. 19), about 1.5 mm and surrounded by the parental mesoglea coat (Fig. 20). Severely stressed colonies of *H. fuscescens* tend to release numerous aborted young white embryos and immature planulae. In the laboratory such embryonic stages fail to complete their metamorphosis into mature larvae.

Release of mature planulae by *Heteroxenia* was observed nearly all year round (Benayahu in preparation). Release of mature planulae occurs at dusk. Within a colony this process is rapid, and often it takes only a few minutes for hundreds of larvae to emerge from the inter-siphonozooid spaces. Planulation occurs when the planulae hatches from the mesogleal coat and escapes from these spaces. Mature planulae of *H. fuscescens* are elongated, 3.5-4 mm long (Fig. 21), ciliated (Fig. 22), and opaque white, because their tissues lack symbiotic algae. The planulae are capable of contraction and, hence, tend to change their shape. Larval movement is slow, performed both by body contractions and ciliary movement on the substratum.

Discussion

Heteroxenia fuscescens is a hermaphroditic soft coral which broods its planulae. Among alcyonaceans hermaphroditism has been recorded for only a few other species, e.g. H. elizabethae, H. gardagensis and Xenia viridis Ashworth 1899. (Gohar 1940). To date, available information indicates that most soft corals are gonochoric (Gohar 1940, Hartnoll 1975, Benayahu and Loya 1983, 1984a, 1986, Farrant 1986, Benayahua 1987, Uehara et al. 1987). Observations derived from several earlier publications supply additional evidence that gonochorism is the dominant pattern among alcyonaceans (Pratt 1903, Nutting 1912, Thorpe 1928, Hickson 1931). However, caution is necessary in interpreting these observations as they are based on small samples. Furthermore, while Gohar (1940) indicated that H. elizabethae in the Red Sea is hermaphroditic, Shinkarenko (1981) pointed out that the same species is gonochoric at the Great Barrier Reef, Australia. Such a mixed breeding system within the same species in different zoo-geographical areas would be remarkable if true, and requires further study.

Heteroxenia fuscescens has a number of features that would be useful in promoting outcrossing. The spermaries are shed intact into outside water through temporary gonopores (Gohar 1940). This mechanism, in which spermaries do not break open inside the polyp cavities, reduces the probability of self-fertilization in hermaphroditic species (see also Szmant 1986). In histological sections of polyps (Fig. 1) spermaries often outnumber the oocytes (Benayahu in prepartion). H. fuscescens colonies grow in clusters on the reefs of Eilat (Benayahu 1975), a pattern which would also favor cross-fertilization.





Fig. 14. Heteroxenia fuscescens. SEM of exposed blastomeres with microvilli. Scale bar = 1 μ m. Inset: high magnification of a region on these blastomeres. Scale bar = 1 μ m

Fig. 15. Heteroxenia fuscescens. SEM of exposed blastomeres of an advanced embryo with dense microvilli. Scale bar = $1 \mu m$

Fig. 16. Heteroxenia fuscescens. SEM of a partly removed mesogleal coat (M) showing microvilli and cilia on the embryo surface. Scale $bar = 10 \ \mu m$

Fig. 17. Heteroxenia fuscescens. SEM of immature planula with partly removed mesogleal coat (M). Scale bar = $100 \,\mu m$

Fig. 7. Heteroxenia fuscescens. Photomicrograph of fertilized eggs (arrows) inside anthocodium (A), at the level of the pharynx (asterisk). Scale bar = $200 \ \mu m$

Fig. 8. Heteroxenia fuscescens. Photomicrograph of fertilized egg (arrow) inside a tentacle (T). Scale bar = 200 µm

Fig. 9. Heteroxenia fuscescens. SEM of an embryo coated with parental mesoglea. Scale bar = $100 \,\mu m$

Fig. 10. Heteroxenia fuscescens. SEM of a stereoblastula with partly removed parental mesogleal coat (M) exposing the blastomeres (B). Scale bar = $100 \ \mu m$

Fig. 11. Heteroxenia fuscescens. SEM of an advanced cleaved stereoblastula with partly removed mesogleal coat (M). Scale bar = $100 \ \mu m$

Fig. 12. Heteroxenia fuscescens. SEM of exposed blastomeres indicating no junctional filipodia between cells. Scale bar = $10 \ \mu m$

Fig. 13. Heteroxenia fuscescens. SEM of exposed cleaved blastomeres (B) indicating no surface specializations. Scale bar = 1 μ m



Fig. 18. Heteroxenia fuscescens. Longitudinal section through living colony showing autozooids (Az), siphonozooids (arrows), gonads (G) in autozooids, planulae between siphonozooids (asterisks). Scale bar = 5 mm

Fig. 19. Heteroxenia fuscescens. Longitudinal section through living colony showing clusters of gonads (G) in autozooids and brood planulae (arrows) between siphonozooids (Sz). Scale bar = 2 mm

Fig. 20. Heteroxenia fuscescens. Photomicrograph of cross section through autozooid (Az) with oocytes (O) and through the siphonozooids (Sz). Immature planulae (P) are found in the intersiphonozooid spaces. Each planula is surrounded by the parental mesogleal coat, indicated by arrows. Scale bar = $100 \mu m$

Fig. 21. Heteroxenia fuscescens. Living planula. Scale bar=1 mm

Fig. 22. Heteroxenia fuscescens. SEM of a fractured mature planula showing ciliated ectoderm (Ec), mesoglea (arrow) and endoderm (En). Scale bar = 10 μ m

Utinomi and Imahara (1976) described gonadal development both in autozooids and siphonozooids of the dimorphic alcyonacean Minabea robusta. However, in Heteroxenia fuscescens, as in other dimorphic soft corals that have been studied (Gohar 1940, Shinkarenko 1981, Benayahu and Loya 1986, Uehara et al. 1987), the gonads are confined only to the autozooids. Like gonads of other alcyonaceans (Farrant 1986), the gonads of H. fuscescens bulge out from the mesenteries into the gastrovascular cavity of each polyp. Each oocyte is enclosed by a follicular endoderm and a thin mesogleal layer (Figs. 2-3). The dense, short microvilli found on the oocyte surface (Fig. 4) are remarkable and have not been described previously for corals. Eggs of some sea anemones are covered by longer microvilli (10 to 20 μ m), usually extending as tufts of cytospines (Siebert 1974, Spaulding 1974, Siebert and Spaulding 1976, Larkman and Carter 1984, Schäfer 1984). It has been suggested that these tufts of microvilli may afford the egg a certain mechanical protection (Larkman and Carter 1984). However, oocytes of H. fuscescens are enclosed within a mesogleal coat (Figs. 2-4) which would provide an adequate protection. During cleavage the surfaces of the blastomeres are nearly smooth with no indication of microvilli (Figs. 10-11), and therefore, differ markedly from the oocyte surface. This dramatic morphological change is presumably associated with the cortical reaction following fertilization. Eggs of some sea anemons equipped with similar microvilli lose their projections immediately after fertilization and, subsequently, have a smooth oolema (Clark and Dewel 1974, Schäfer 1984). In other species the conspicuously long cytospines are retained as late as gastrulation (Siebert 1974, Siebert and Spaulding 1976). It has been suggested that microvilli on eggs of some hydroids serve for nutritional exchange between the oocyte and its surrounding epithelium (Boelsterli 1975). We also propose that microvilli of H. fuscescens eggs play a nutritional role throughout oogenesis, and that their complete disruption is probably associated with sperm-egg interaction. Further ultrastructural evidence is required in order to shed light on cortical reaction and related events in alcyonaceans.

The blastomeres of broadcasting alcyonaceans are connected by numerous filipodia (Benayahu and Loya 1983, Benayahu 1987). However, embryos of *Heteroxenia fuscescens* lack this type of cell-to-cell adhesion complex (Figs. 9–10), and during embryogenesis the mesogleal coat, most probably helps hold the blastomers together. The mechanism of cell-to-cell adhesion in the developing embryos of alcyonaceans is as yet unknown.

Heteroxenia fuscescens has elaborate brood care involving structural and behavioral adaptations. All stages of embryogenesis of this species, from egg to mature planula, occur within the parental mesoglea coat that originally covered the egg. Early cleavage within a mesogleal coat has recently been recorded in Xenia umbellate (Benayahu et al. 1987). However, unlike *H. fuscescens*, subsequent morphogenesis of X. umbellata occurs while the embryo is within the brood pouch. Among other cnidarians embryonic development of the sea anemone Actinostola spetsbergensis occurs in a peculier non-cellular envelope of unknown origin (Rieman-Zürneck 1976) and embryos of *Hydra carnea* are surrounded by a protective cellular embryotheca secreted by the blastomeres (Honegger 1981). Our study demonstrates for the first time retention of a coat of parental mesoglea throughout the entire process.

The brooding of planulae by *Heteroxenia fuscescens* inside cavities of the anthocodia or the tentacles, has not been recorded for any other alcyonacean. Such a mechanism utilizes the non-gonad producing polyp cavities for the brooding planulae. Extrusion of immature planulae from the cavities into the inter-siphonozooid spaces (Figs. 16–17) is presumably associated with increasing final embryo size. Planulae of *H. fuscescens* are the largest known among alcyonaceans (Benayahu and Loya 1984b, Benayahu 1987), reaching 3.5 to 4 mm in the inter siphonozooids spaces. This allows the inner polyp cavities to be used by the following smaller embryonic stages, substantially increasing the brooding capability of *H. fuscescens* and probably leading to higher fecundity of individuals in this species.

Hatching of embryonic stages or larvae from their coat has been studied in various echinoderms (Holland 1981). This is the first study to show hatching of a cnidarian larva from a parental mesogleal coat at planulation. The brood care of *Heteroxenia fuscescens* exhibits a previously undescribed mode of planular development with highly specialized brooding habits, which seem to maximize the protection and survival of the embryo.

Acknowledgements. Thanks are due to D. Y. Shapiro for his critical comments on the manuscript. We are very grateful to the anonymous reviewers for their criticism which significantly improved the manuscript. We acknowledge the skilful cooperation and assistance of Z. Malik and Y. Langzam from the EM laboratory at Bar Ilan University. We thank the staff of the Inter-university Marine Biology Laboratory at Eilat for their hospitality. Thanks are also due to A. Shoob for help with the photography.

Literature Cited

- Ashworth, J. H. (1899). The structure of Xenia hicksoni, with some observations on Heteroxenia elizabethae, Kölliker. Q. J. microsc. Sci 62: 245-304
- Bayer, F. M., Grasshoff, M., Verseveldt, J. (Eds.) (1983). Illustrated trilingual glossary of morphological and anatomical terms applied to Octocorallia, E. J. Brill/Dr. W. Backhuys, Leiden
- Benayahu, Y. (1975) Quantitative characteristics of community structure of stony corals, soft corals and algae in the northern Gulf of Eilat (Red Sea). M. Sc. thesis, Tel Aviv Univ. (in Hebrew)
- Benayahu, Y. (1985) Faunistic composition and patterns in the distribution of soft corals (Octocorallia: Alcyonacea) along the coral reefs of Sinai peninsula. Proc 5th int. Coral Reef Cong. 6: 255-260 [Ed. by C. Gabrie et al.] Moorea, French Polynesia, Antenne Museum EPHE
- Benayahu, Y. (1987). Reproduction and external brooding in the octocoral *Clavularia hamra* Gohar. In: 22nd European Mar. Biol. Symp., Abstracts
- Benayahu, Y., Loya, Y. (1983). Surface brooding in the Res Sea soft coral Parerythropodium fulvum fulvum (Forskål, 1775). Biol. Bull. mar. biol. Lab., Woods Hole 165: 353-369

- Benayahu, Y., Loya, Y. (1984a). Life history studies on the Red Sea soft coral Xenia macrospiculate Gohar, 1940. I. Annual dynamics of gonadal development. Biol. Bull. mar. biol. Lab. Woods Hole 166: 32-43
- Benayahu, Y., Loya, Y. (1984 b). Life history studies on the Red Sea soft coral *Xenia macrospiculata* Gohar, 1940. II. Planulae shedding and post larval development. Biol. Bull. mar. biol. Lab. Woods Hole 166: 44-53
- Benayahu, Y., Loya, Y. (1986). Sexual reproduction of a soft coral: Synchronous and brief annual spawning of Sacrophyton glaucum (Quoy and Gaimard, 1833). Biol. Bull. mar. biol. Lab. Woods Hole 170: 32-42
- Benayahu, Y., Achituv, Y., Berner, T. (1987). Aquisition of symbiont zooxanthellae in course of ontogenesis of three xeniid soft coral species. In: First Eilat Symposium on Marine Symbiosis, Abstracts
- Boelsterli, U. (1975). Notes on oogenesis in *Tubularia crocea* Agassiz (Athecata, Hydrozoa). Pubbl. Staz. Zool, Napoli 39: 53-66
- Clark, W. H., Dewel, W. C. (1974). The structure of the gonads, gametogenesis, and sperm-egg interactions in the Athozoa. Amer. Zool. 14: 495-510
- Farrant, P. A. (1986). Gonadal development and the planulae of the temperate Australian soft coral *Capnella gaboensis*. Mar. Biol. 92: 381-392
- Gamliel, H., Gurfel, D., Leizerowitz, R., Pollack, D. (1983). Air drying of human leucocytes for scanning electron microscopy using the GTGO procedure. J. Micros. 131: 87–95
- Gohar, H. A. F. (1940). Studies on the Xeniidae of the Red Sea. Pub. Mar. Biol. St. Ghardaqa 2: 27-118
- Gohar, H. A. F., Roushdy, H. M. (1961). On the embryology of the Xeniidae (Alcyonaria) with notes on the extrusion of the larvae.
 Pub. Mar. Biol. St. Ghardaqa 11: 45-70
- Hartnoll, R. G. (1975). The annual cycle of *Alcyonium digitatum*. Estuarine cstl mar. Sci. 3: 71–78
- Hickson, S. J. (1931). The alcyonarian family Xeniidae, with a revision of the genera and species. Great Barrier Reef Expedition 1928-1929 IV 5, 18-179
- Holland, N. D. (1981). Electron microscopic study of development in a sea cucumber, *Stichopus tremulus* (Holothuroidea), from unfertilized egg through hatched blastula. Acta Zoologica (Stockholm) 62: 89–111
- Honegger, T. G. (1981). Light and scanning electron microscopic investigations of sexual reproduction in *Hydra carnea*. Int. J. Inver. Rep. 31: 245-255

- Larkman, A. U., Carter, M. A. (1984). The apparent absence of a cortical reaction after fertilization in a sea anemone. Tissue and Cell 16: 125-130
- Nutting, C. C. (1912) Descriptions of the Alcyonaria collected by the U.S. Fisheries Steamer "Albatross", mainly in Japanese waters, during 1906. Proc U.S. natl Mus. 43: 1-104
- Pratt, E. M. (1903). The Alcyonaria of the Maldives. II. The genera Sarcophyton, Lobophytum, Sclerophytum and Alcyonium. Fauna Geogr. Mald. Archip. 2: 503-539
- Riemann Zürneck, K. (1976). Reproductive biology, oogenesis and early development in the broodcaring sea aneome Actinostola spetsbergensis (Anthozoa: Actiniaria). Helgoländer wiss. Meeresunters. 28: 239-249
- Schäfer, W. (1984). Fortpflanzung und Entwicklung von Anemonia sulcata (Anthozoa, Actiniaria). I. Fortpflanzungszyklus und Struktur der Oocyten vor und nach der Besamung. Helgoländer Meersunters 38: 135–148
- Shinkarenko, L. (1981) The natural history of five species of octocorals (Alcyonacea) with special reference to reproduction, at Heron Island Reef, Great Barrier Reef, Ph. D. thesis, University of Queensland
- Siebert, A. E. (1974). A description of the embryology, larval development, and feeding of the sea anemone Anthopleura elegantissima and A. xanthogrammica. Can. J. Zool. 52: 1383–1388
- Siebert, A. E., Spaulding, J. G. (1976). The taxonomy, development and brooding behavior of the anemone, *Cribrinopsis fernaldi* sp. nov. Biol. Bull. mar. biol. Lab. Woods Hole 150: 128–138
- Spaulding, J. G. (1974). Embryonic and larval development in sea anemones (Anthozoa: Actiniaria). Amer. Zool. 14: 511-520
- Szmant, A. M. (1986) Reproductive ecology of Caribbean reef corals. Coral Reefs 5: 43-53
- Thorpe, L. (1928) Alcyonaria of the Abrolhos Islands, Western Australia, J. Linn. Soc. Lond. 36: 479-531
- Uehara, T., Sato, M., Yamazato, K. (1987). General description of developmental stages in a soft coral *Lobophytum crassum* Maranzeller. Galaxea 6: 185-193
- Utinomi, H., Imahara, Y. (1976). A new second species of dimorphic alcyonacean octocoral *Minabea* from the bays of Sagami and Suruga, with emendation of generic diagnosis. Pub. Set. Mar. Biol Lab. 23: 205–212

Date of final manuscript acceptance: July 26, 1988. Communicated by O. Kinne, Oldendorf/Luhe